Preface: Expanded Core Framework Series

This is a new series using an **Expanded Core Framework** that evolved from the original **Core Framework** .

This expanded analysis was created through continued collaboration between Thomas J. Bryan and Claude (Anthropic's AI system). The collaboration improved both the methodology and the conclusions.

Human Contribution (Thomas J. Bryan):

- Research direction and case study selection
- Theoretical framework and interpretation
- Final analytical judgments and publication decisions

AI Contribution (Claude/Anthropic):

- Historical scholarship synthesis and bibliographic research
- Essay composition and structural analysis
- Intellectual challenge and critical questioning
- Pattern identification and comparative analysis

Thomas J. Bryan
October 2025
Published on Archive.org

Original preface ("An Unexpected Journey") published separately provides full context for how this research project developed.

Venezuela: Four Centuries of Elite Reconstitution

From Cacao Barons to Chavista Bolibourgeoisie

Part 1: Colonial Foundations & the Cacao Oligarchy (1522-1810)

The Pattern Begins

When Spanish conquistadors arrived on Venezuela's coast in 1522, they initiated a 288-year process that would establish the template for every subsequent "revolution" in Venezuelan history. The mechanism was simple and devastating: European settlers would claim permanent ownership of productive assets (land, mines, slaves) while indigenous peoples—and later imported Africans—would provide labor in exchange for nothing permanent at all.

This wasn't accidental. This was the foundational economic structure of colonial Venezuela, and it never fundamentally changed.

Encomienda: The First Elite Lock-In

The encomienda system arrived in Venezuela in the 1520s with a veneer of paternalism. Spanish conquistadors received grants from the Crown to "protect" and "Christianize" indigenous peoples in specific regions. In return, these encomenderos could extract tribute and labor from the native population.

The theory sounded almost benevolent. The reality was extraction without compensation.

By 1552, 158 encomienda grants had been distributed across Venezuela's plains and valleys. The encomenderos—men who had served the Spanish Crown in conquest—now controlled both the land and the people on it. Indigenous communities provided the labor that generated wealth. The encomenderos kept that wealth.

The structure was explicit:

- **Stock (Elite):** Land control, tribute rights, authority over indigenous labor
- **Flow (Indigenous peoples):** Temporary access to subsistence agriculture, "protection" that could be withdrawn at any time

The indigenous population of coastal Venezuela—estimated at 50,000 before Spanish arrival—fell by 50-75% within the first century of colonization. Disease, overwork, and violence erased entire communities. Those who survived found themselves bound to a system designed to extract everything they produced while giving them nothing they could pass to their children.

Cacao and the Birth of the Mantuano Class

By the early 17th century, European demand for chocolate transformed Venezuela's economy. Cacao trees thrived in the coastal valleys around Caracas, the Tuy River region, and the Lake Valencia basin. A handful of families positioned themselves to dominate this lucrative trade.

These families had names: Bolívar, Tovar, Ponte, Toro, Herrera. They called themselves Mantuanos—or *grandes cacaos*, in a term that simultaneously described their wealth source and their presumption. Rarely exceeding 100 families by the late 18th century, they monopolized the most productive land in Venezuela.

The economics were straightforward and brutal. Cacao cultivation required steady, intensive labor. Indigenous populations had been decimated. The solution was systematic: import enslaved Africans.

By 1658, favored planters operated with three distinct slave crews: domestic servants in Caracas, caretaker crews on existing plantations, and expansion crews planting new cacao groves. Domingo de Liendo's heirs, for example, held 24 enslaved men and boys plus 8 women and girls at their coastal estate, 7 men and boys plus 7 women and girls in Caracas domestic service, and 8 men plus 3 women planting cacao in the Tuy Valley.

This was the pattern: constant expansion funded by enslaved labor, each new grove expanding Mantuano wealth while enslaved Africans received nothing permanent, nothing heritable, nothing they could claim as their own.

The Numbers Reveal Everything

The Guipuzcoana Company—granted a royal monopoly over cacao trade in 1728—documented Venezuela's transformation into a monoculture extraction economy:

- 1720: More than 2 million cacao trees in Caracas province
- 1744: Over 5 million cacao trees in Caracas province
- 1720s: 2.5 million pounds of cacao exported annually
- Early 1760s: Over 6 million pounds exported annually

Every pound represented enslaved labor. Every tree represented Mantuano wealth accumulation.

The Bolívar family exemplified this system. Juan Vicente de Bolívar y Ponte (1726-1786)—father of the future "Liberator"—inherited considerable wealth and systematically expanded it. His holdings included:

- Two cacao haciendas (one in the Tuy valleys, one in Morón near Puerto Cabello)
- Multiple properties in Caracas and La Guaira
- A shop selling fine textiles
- Properties in Zuata, Caicara, and the Aragua valleys

- A sugar mill at San Mateo
- The Hato de Totumo in Tiznados

All of it—every hectare, every building, every slave—was permanent property that passed through inheritance. The thousands of enslaved people who made this wealth possible could pass nothing to their children except the status of being enslaved.

Who Worked, Who Owned

The distinction was racial, legal, and absolute.

At the apex stood fewer than 100 Mantuano families. Despite their economic power, Spanish colonial hierarchy placed them below peninsular-born Spaniards in formal authority. They could serve on local councils but were excluded from the highest colonial offices. This created a resentment that would later fuel independence movements—not to destroy elite power, but to transfer it from Spanish to Creole hands.

Below the Mantuanos came a complex hierarchy of *pardos* (mixed-race populations), free blacks, and at the very bottom, enslaved Africans. The pardos could serve in militias but only rose to the rank of captain—always commanded by white officers, always subordinate to Mantuano control.

The enslaved population worked the cacao groves, processed the beans, built the infrastructure, staffed the households. Their children inherited slavery. The Mantuanos' children inherited haciendas.

The Land That Never Changed Hands

What made colonial Venezuela's elite power durable wasn't just wealth—it was the legal mechanisms that prevented wealth dispersion. Three mayorazgos (entailed estates) operated in Venezuela, two linked to the Bolívar family and one to the Cornieles family in Trujillo. These legal instruments bound estates in perpetuity to family lines, preventing division or sale.

The Sartenejas mayorazgo, founded by the Ponte family in 1754 and later passing to the Tovar family through marriage, remained intact through independence and beyond. When Domingo de Tovar died in 1857—46 years after Venezuela's independence—the estate still belonged to the Tovar family. The enslaved laborers who worked that land for generations owned nothing.

The Colonial Pattern Solidifies

By 1810, on the eve of independence, Venezuela's economic structure had calcified:

- Fewer than 100 Mantuano families controlled the most productive land
- Thousands of enslaved Africans worked that land without ownership rights
- Tens of thousands of pardos and poor whites had no land access

- Every cacao bean exported to Europe represented wealth extraction that flowed to the same families, year after year, generation after generation

The Stock-Flow distinction was absolute:

- **Stock (Mantuano families):** Cacao haciendas, urban properties, enslaved people, trade rights, social status, political access—all permanent, all heritable
- **Flow (Everyone else):** Wages that stopped when work stopped, food allotments that could be withdrawn, militia positions without authority, protection that could be revoked

When the independence wars began in 1810, enslaved Africans, pardos, and poor whites fought and died by the thousands. They were promised land, promised freedom, promised a place in the new republic.

The Mantuano families who led the independence movement—families like the Bolívars—knew exactly what they were doing. They weren't fighting to redistribute property. They were fighting to transfer control of the extractive machinery from Spanish hands to their own.

The cacao groves, the haciendas, the fundamental structure of who owned and who worked—none of that would change.

The pattern was set. The next 200 years would simply elaborate the theme.

Core Thesis Established:

- Colonial period created the Stock-Flow template
- Mantuano elite consolidated ownership of productive assets
- Indigenous peoples and enslaved Africans received no permanent ownership
- Legal mechanisms (mayorazgos, inheritance law) prevented wealth dispersion
- Independence movement would preserve, not dismantle, this structure

Quantitative Precision:

- 158 encomienda grants by 1552
- 50-75% indigenous population decline in first century
- Fewer than 100 Mantuano families by 1790
- 2 million to 5 million cacao trees (1720-1744)
- 2.5 to 6+ million pounds annual exports (1720s-1760s)
- Specific family holdings documented (Bolívar, Liendo, Tovar families)

Next Parts Preview:

- Part 2: Will show how "independence" preserved Mantuano control
- Part 3: Oil discovery will shift extraction mechanism but preserve pattern

- Part 4: Democratic period will show elite adaptation
- Part 5: Bolivarian Revolution will demonstrate ultimate elite reconstitution

Venezuela: Four Centuries of Elite Reconstitution

From Cacao Barons to Chavista Bolibourgeoisie

Part 2: Independence & Continued Mantuano Control (1810-1870)

The Rhetoric of Liberation

On July 5, 1811, seven Venezuelan provinces declared independence from Spain. The rhetoric was soaring: equality before the law, abolition of noble titles, property rights for all, an end to civil degradation of the pardos. The Federal Constitution of 1811 proclaimed a new era of justice and opportunity.

The cacao plantations that funded the independence movement remained in exactly the same hands.

The enslaved Africans who worked those plantations remained enslaved until 1854—43 years after "independence."

The Mantuano families who led the revolt against Spain became the ruling class of the new republic.

This wasn't independence. This was a transfer of control.

Who Led, Who Died, Who Owned

Simón Bolívar—Venezuela's great "Liberator"—was born into the wealthiest 0.1% of colonial Venezuela. His family owned four haciendas, two houses in Caracas, another in La Guaira, and numerous enslaved people. The Juan Vicente de Bolívar estate we documented in Part 1 made the future Liberator one of the richest men in Venezuela before he ever picked up a sword.

When Bolívar called for revolution, he didn't call for land redistribution. When he promised liberation, he didn't free his own slaves. When he organized the republican army, he placed fellow Mantuanos in command positions while pardos and llaneros provided the fighting.

The pattern was explicit and systematic:

- **Leadership positions:** Bolívar (Mantuano), Santiago Mariño (Mantuano hacienda owner in the east), other Creole elite
- **Officer ranks:** Creoles with property and education
- **Rank-and-file soldiers:** Pardos, llaneros (cowboys), indigenous peoples, enslaved people promised freedom

- **Casualties:** Overwhelmingly the rank-and-file—Venezuela lost approximately 33% of its population between 1810 and 1830

The llaneros who charged Spanish positions at Carabobo in June 1821—securing Venezuela's decisive victory—were promised land. They received orders to disband.

Páez: From Llanero to Landowner

José Antonio Páez exemplified how "independence" reconstructed rather than redistributed elite power. Born poor in 1790, he rose through military skill to lead the llanero cavalry that proved crucial to defeating Spain. A mestizo from the plains, Páez seemed to represent a genuine challenge to Creole dominance.

Then independence was won. And Páez became what the system needed: a new elite member.

In July 1821, immediately after the Battle of Carabobo, Bolívar issued a decree granting Páez "the right to redistribute national properties" within the territory under his jurisdiction. The stated purpose was rewarding the Army of Apure for their service.

Páez acquired the best properties for himself first.

In October 1821—four months after independence—Páez applied for ownership of Hacienda de la Trinidad in the valleys of Aragua. This was one of the largest estates in the region, previously owned by the Fernández de León family who had founded it in the 1700s. The family had fled as Spanish loyalists. Páez received the property in November 1821 in exchange for "payment of wages in arrears."

He also successfully bid for the Yagua ranch.

His holdings weren't restricted to the llanos where he'd built his reputation. They extended into center-north Venezuela—the homeland of the traditional Mantuano oligarchy. By the time Páez became Venezuela's first president in 1831, he had "accumulated a vast amount of land both as a war bonus and through speculation."

The llaneros who had fought under his command received nothing comparable. They returned to working other people's land—or working Páez's land.

The Quantitative Reality of "Liberation"

When Venezuela separated from Gran Colombia in 1830, the new constitution prescribed democracy. In practice, an oligarchy governed. The constitution was explicit:

- **Property qualifications for voting:** Only men who owned at least 2,000 pesos in real or personal property could vote
- **Two-stage elections:** Voters appointed parish electors; these electors then appointed representatives

- **No vote for:** Women, slaves, those "lacking wealth"
- **Death penalty** maintained for political crimes
- **Slavery** continued

Of the 44 deputies elected to the first Venezuelan Congress in 1811, representing a population of hundreds of thousands:

- 24 from Caracas (the Mantuano stronghold)
- 9 from Barinas
- 4 from Cumaná
- 3 from Barcelona
- 2 from Mérida
- 1 from Trujillo
- 1 from Margarita

Every deputy was a property owner. Most were from the colonial elite families or their allies.

The electoral regulations didn't hide their purpose: ensure that those who controlled property before independence controlled political power after independence.

Land That Never Changed Hands

The Tovar family's Sartenejas hacienda—established under colonial mayorazgo in 1754—continued operating through independence without interruption. The family had supported independence. The plantation remained theirs.

The Bolívar family properties passed through inheritance as they always had. Simón Bolívar's military campaigns across South America were funded partly by the ongoing revenue from enslaved labor on his family's cacao haciendas.

When 24,000 Spanish loyalists fled Venezuela after Carabobo—abandoning Caracas in such haste that houses were looted and streets filled with corpses—their properties were seized. This represented the only significant property redistribution of the independence era.

That property was distributed to military commanders, not to soldiers. Páez and other caudillos became wealthy landowners precisely because wealthy landowners had fled. The ownership structure didn't democratize—it simply changed personnel at the elite level.

The Stock-Flow distinction remained absolute:

Stock (New Elite - mostly Mantuanos, some military caudillos like Páez):

- Haciendas (cacao, coffee, cattle)
- Urban properties
- Enslaved people (until 1854)
- Political offices

- Military commands
- Permanent wealth passed through inheritance

Flow (Everyone Else):

- Military wages (stopped at war's end)
- Promises of land (never fulfilled for most)
- "Freedom" without property
- Wage labor for the same families as before

The Federal Wars: Elite Reconstitution Through Violence

If independence hadn't redistributed property, perhaps civil war would. Between 1858 and 1863, Venezuela tore itself apart in the Federal Wars—Liberals against Conservatives, "federalists" against "centralists," regional caudillos against Caracas oligarchy.

The Liberal/Federalist rhetoric was explicit: social reform, democracy, land redistribution. Ezequiel Zamora, leading peasant guerrillas, occupied haciendas, expropriated large estates, and called for local elections. His forces represented genuine popular uprising—artisans, peasants, debt-ridden smallholders demanding actual land reform.

Zamora died from a sniper's bullet at the Battle of San Carlos in January 1860. Some Liberals who viewed him as "too radical" welcomed his death.

The war continued until 1863. Conservative forces led by the aged Páez—called back from exile—couldn't contain the uprising. The Liberals won.

The Treaty of Coche in May 1863 ended the war. General Juan Crisóstomo Falcón became president. A new constitution in 1864 established a federal system.

And absolutely nothing changed about land ownership.

The encyclopedia entry is explicit: "After the war, there was no modification of Venezuela's economic or social structure."

Between 20,000 and 100,000 Venezuelans died in the Federal Wars. Haciendas were destroyed. The llanos cattle herds "virtually disappeared." The economy was devastated.

The large landowners remained large landowners. Regional caudillos who had fought against the oligarchy joined the oligarchy by acquiring confiscated properties. The Conservative and Liberal parties—supposedly representing opposed social visions—were both dominated by landowners and merchants.

Federalism meant local caudillos now had formal authority over their regions. It didn't mean land redistribution. The peasants who fought for Zamora returned to working other people's land.

The Caudillo System Perfected

By 1870, Venezuela had perfected a political formula that would last a century:

1. Regional caudillos controlled local populations through patronage and violence
2. The national caudillo in Caracas balanced these regional strongmen against each other
3. All caudillos—regional and national—allied with landowners and merchants
4. Economic power remained concentrated in the same families (whether colonial-era Mantuanos or independence-era military leaders who'd joined the elite)
5. Periodic civil wars redistributed power among elite factions without changing the fundamental structure

Between 1830 and 1870, Venezuela experienced:

- Multiple constitutions (1830, 1858, 1864)
- Multiple presidents (Páez three times, the Monagas brothers, Castro, Falcón)
- Multiple civil wars
- Slavery finally abolished (1854)
- Coffee replacing cacao as the primary export

What didn't change:

- Land concentration in elite hands
- Property qualifications for political participation
- Caudillo-based political system
- Absence of land reform
- Workers' dependence on wages from land they didn't own

Who Worked, Who Owned (1870)

A census of the period would reveal:

- A small elite of landowners (perhaps a few thousand families) controlling vast haciendas
- A larger group of regional caudillos and military officers who had acquired land through war or political connections
- Urban merchants tied to the export economy
- Tens of thousands of agricultural workers, former slaves, pardos, and poor whites working land they didn't own
- Coffee and cacao production still dependent on concentrated landholding and cheap labor

The independence wars had killed hundreds of thousands. They hadn't redistributed an inch of productive land to the people who worked it.

The Pattern Clarifies

By 1870—sixty years after the first declaration of independence—Venezuela's fundamental economic structure was identical to 1810:

- A tiny elite owned the productive assets (now coffee plantations and cattle ranches instead of just cacao haciendas)
- The vast majority worked for wages or sharecropping arrangements that gave them nothing permanent
- Political power required property ownership
- Social mobility meant joining the landowning class, not democratizing land access
- Each "revolution" (independence, Federal Wars) killed thousands and enriched military commanders while ordinary soldiers returned to poverty

The names had changed. Some Páez replaced some Bolívar. Some Falcón replaced some Tovar. The mechanisms of extraction remained identical.

Stock stayed with the elite. Flow went to everyone else.

The next phase—oil discovery—would simply magnify this pattern to unprecedented scale.

Core Thesis Advanced:

- Independence preserved rather than dismantled colonial property relations
- Military heroes of independence (Bolívar, Páez) came from or joined the elite
- Promises of land to soldiers systematically unfulfilled
- Federal Wars (1858-1863) killed 20,000-100,000 but changed no property ownership
- By 1870, pattern is clear: each "revolution" reconstitutes elite power without redistribution

Quantitative Precision:

- 33% of Venezuela's population lost 1810-1830
- 24,000 Spanish loyalists fled after Carabobo
- Property qualifications: 2,000 pesos minimum for voting
- 44 deputies, all property owners, in first Congress
- 20,000-100,000 deaths in Federal Wars
- Specific properties tracked: Hacienda de la Trinidad, Yagua ranch, Sartenejas estate

Key Names & Patterns:

- Páez: from llanero to landowner to president (embodying elite reconstitution)
- Zamora: genuine land reform leader assassinated, reforms abandoned
- Bolívar family: wealth from slavery funding "liberation"
- Mantuano families: continued dominance post-independence

Devastating Parallel to Argentina: Workers fight, die, receive wages. Elite acquire land, pass it to children, maintain permanent control across regime changes.

Venezuela: Four Centuries of Elite Reconstitution

From Cacao Barons to Chavista Bolibourgeoisie

Part 3: Oil Discovery & Foreign-Domestic Elite Partnership (1914-1958)

A New Extraction Mechanism

On July 31, 1914, Caribbean Petroleum Company's Zumaque No. 1 well began producing 264 barrels of oil per day near Mene Grande on the eastern shore of Lake Maracaibo. Venezuela's export statistics for 1918 recorded petroleum for the first time: 21,194 metric tons.

By 1929, Venezuela was the world's second-largest oil producer and largest oil exporter.

The cacao haciendas that had enriched Mantuano families for 300 years now looked quaint. Oil dwarfed everything. Annual production exploded from just over one million barrels in the early 1920s to 137 million barrels by 1929. Within a decade, oil accounted for over 90 percent of Venezuela's exports.

The extraction mechanism had changed. The elite capture pattern had not.

Gómez: Dictatorship as Business Model

Juan Vicente Gómez seized power in 1908 when his predecessor Cipriano Castro left for medical treatment in Europe. Gómez told Castro not to return. For the next 27 years—ruling alternately as president and through puppet presidents—Gómez controlled Venezuela with methodical brutality.

His secret police were everywhere. His army was the best-equipped in South America. Opponents who didn't escape into exile found themselves in prisons where many died. University students who revolted in 1928 were suppressed. Multiple invasion attempts by exiled opponents failed.

Gómez himself accumulated "a legendary fortune": plantations across the country (some worked by army units assigned to cultivate them), businesses, industries. He "munificently endowed" the scores of children he sired during his bachelor life.

When oil was discovered, Gómez saw opportunity—not for Venezuela, but for a refined system of elite wealth accumulation.

The mechanism was elegant:

Venezuela had inherited from Spain the law that surface land could be privately owned but everything beneath the soil belonged to the state. Gómez couldn't sell the oil directly to foreign companies. Instead, he granted concessions—rights to explore and extract—to family members and close friends.

These Venezuelan concessionaires then leased or sold their holdings to foreign oil companies (Royal Dutch Shell, Standard Oil, Gulf) that had the capital and technology to actually develop the fields.

The numbers document the system:

- During Gómez's lifetime: 4,875 total concessions awarded
- Of these: 2,434 (50%) acquired by foreign oil companies
- From: 829 concessionaires (54% of all individuals granted concessions)
- Over 120 foreign companies entered Venezuela to acquire and trade concessions

Rafael Max Valladares received one of the first major concessions. He contracted with Caribbean Petroleum (later acquired by Royal Dutch Shell). When Zumaque-1 struck oil in 1914, Valladares became wealthy. Shell became Venezuela's dominant oil producer.

José Vicente Gómez—the dictator's son—was "involved at this early stage with oil concessions." He secured concessions from government ministers, studied mining applications, owned iron mines. As the oil industry established itself permanently in the 1920s, "interest in the oil industry within Gómez's inner circle increased further."

Former Venezuelan president Rómulo Betancourt summarized the system precisely: "Gómez was something more than a local despot, he was the instrument of foreign control of the Venezuelan economy, the ally and servant of powerful outside interests."

But servant is too simple. Gómez and his circle were partners—junior partners, but partners who extracted immense wealth from the arrangement.

Who Worked, Who Owned

By the 1930s, three foreign companies—Gulf, Royal Dutch Shell, and Standard Oil—controlled 98 percent of the Venezuelan oil market.

The workers who drilled the wells, cleared the jungle, drained the swamps, built the platforms, worked in brutal conditions. Many "field workers, without any knowledge in oil matters, worked in high temperatures, without drinking water, without medical services and only with the tools of a farmer."

They received wages. When they stopped working, the wages stopped.

The Venezuelan concessionaires who had received the initial grants from Gómez pocketed enormous sums for leasing rights they'd been given for free. They owned nothing permanent except the wealth they'd extracted.

The foreign oil companies owned the drilling equipment, the refineries (built in Aruba and Curaçao because Gómez refused to allow refineries on Venezuelan soil—he didn't trust industrial workers or unions), the distribution networks. They repatriated profits to shareholders in the Netherlands, Britain, and the United States.

Gómez's government collected concession fees and various taxes. These were "relatively lenient"—negotiated between the government and American lawyers to "create incentives for investors." The government derived "considerable income" but the Venezuelan people "benefited little or not at all from the country's oil boom except for those who worked for the oil companies and lived badly but had a steady income."

The Stock-Flow distinction remained absolute, now with international dimensions:

Stock:

- **Foreign oil companies:** Drilling equipment, refineries, distribution networks, repatriated profits
- **Venezuelan concessionaires (Gómez's circle):** Wealth from leasing concessions, land holdings, political power
- **Gómez himself:** Plantations, businesses, industries, army, police state

Flow:

- **Oil workers:** Wages (no ownership of means of production, no share in oil wealth)
- **Venezuelan agricultural workers:** Continued dependence on hacienda wages as countryside emptied
- **Everyone outside elite circles:** None of the permanent benefits of oil wealth

The Caracazo Foreshadowed

By the late 1920s, oil had transformed Venezuela's economy and society:

- Venezuela became the wealthiest state in Latin America
- Urban populations exploded as people left agricultural areas seeking oil jobs
- Agricultural production "declined drastically" (the Dutch Disease in action)
- Coffee and cacao—Venezuela's traditional exports—became marginal
- Within years, Venezuela became a net importer of food
- The share of oil in total exports increased from 1.9% to 91.2% between 1920 and 1935

What hadn't changed: who owned the productive assets that generated this wealth.

Gómez died in 1935 (natural causes, age 79). The event "precipitated widespread looting, property destruction, and the slaughter of Gómez family members and collaborators by angry mobs in Caracas and Maracaibo."

The rage was real. The system continued.

Democratic Venezuela: Elite Adaptation

On January 23, 1958, President Marcos Pérez Jiménez fled Venezuela for the Dominican Republic. Another military dictatorship had fallen. Venezuela would try democracy.

Ten months later, on October 31, 1958, representatives of Venezuela's three main political parties met at Rafael Caldera's Caracas residence. They signed the Punto Fijo Pact.

The signatories:

- **Acción Democrática (AD):** Rómulo Betancourt, Raúl Leoni, Gonzalo Barrios
- **COPEI (Social Christian Party):** Rafael Caldera, Pedro del Corral, Lorenzo Fernández
- **Unión Republicana Democrática (URD):** Jóvito Villalba, Ignacio Luis Arcaya, Manuel López Rivas

The pact guaranteed respect for election results, power-sharing among signatory parties, and a minimum program of government. Its explicit purpose: prevent single-party hegemony and preserve the "rising democratic regime."

What the pact actually created was an elite power-sharing arrangement that would monopolize Venezuelan politics for four decades.

The Communist Party of Venezuela (PCV)—which had been instrumental in fighting against Pérez Jiménez—was deliberately excluded from the pact. The exclusion came from "the dynamic of the Cold War, the defeat of that party by the Church and by COPEI, and a special aversion by Betancourt against the PCV."

Some historians note there were "rumors" of a preceding agreement between U.S. agents and the pact signers: "The U.S. would not object to removing Pérez Jiménez as long as the new Democrats promised to keep the oil flowing and stop Venezuela from sliding into anarchy."

December 7, 1958: Venezuela held its first truly democratic elections. Rómulo Betancourt won.

In practice, the Punto Fijo system created a bipartisanship between AD and COPEI. These two parties "became increasingly reliant on the shared oil revenues to secure their power over Venezuelan politics through a system of patronage and clientelism."

Oil Nationalization: Changing Ownership?

The democratic period saw Venezuela take incremental steps toward controlling its oil wealth:

- **1943:** New Hydrocarbons Law unified overlapping concessions, established 40-year reversion periods, set royalties at 16.67%, allowed income tax application to oil companies
- **1948:** Amendments established the 50-50 profit share principle (oil companies predicted "the collapse of the industry"—which continued operating profitably)
- **1960:** Venezuela co-founded OPEC with Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, and Saudi Arabia
- **1971:** Law passed nationalizing natural gas industry and establishing asset reversion
- **1973:** OPEC began setting oil prices; Venezuelan government revenue nearly quadrupled 1972-1974
- **1975:** President Carlos Andrés Pérez announced oil nationalization
- **January 1, 1976:** Venezuela formally nationalized its oil industry at Zumaque-1, creating Petróleos de Venezuela S.A. (PDVSA)

The ceremony happened at the same well that opened commercial oil production 62 years earlier. The symbolism was explicit: Venezuelan control of Venezuelan resources.

The reality was more complex.

When nationalization occurred, "each of the former concessionaires was simply substituted by a new 'national' oil company, which maintained the structures and functions of its multi-national corporation (MNC)-predecessor." All new companies were owned by PDVSA, the state holding company.

The question became: who controls the state company?

By 1945: Venezuela was producing close to 1 million barrels per day, supplying the Allies in World War II

By the 1950s: Middle Eastern competition emerged, U.S. import quotas reduced demand, oil prices plummeted

By 1976: Venezuela controlled production through PDVSA—but the revenue still flowed through the same power structures

The AD-COPEI bipartisanship controlled access to oil revenues through patronage networks. Political power required party affiliation. Economic advancement required political connections. The system concentrated benefits in middle and upper classes.

From 1958 to 1989, "democratic leaders attempted to use the large oil revenues to invest in other industry through various policies such as import substitution." These attempts were "for the most part, unsuccessful as Venezuelan government revenues continued to be highly volatile due to the fluctuating price of oil."

The Pattern Clarified (1914-1958)

Across 44 years of oil development, Venezuela's fundamental pattern remained consistent:

Under Gómez's dictatorship (1908-1935):

- Foreign companies extracted oil, repatriated profits
- Gómez's circle collected concession wealth
- Oil workers received wages, no ownership
- Agricultural sector collapsed, food imports began
- Gómez died wealthy; mobs destroyed his collaborators' property

Under Pérez Jiménez's dictatorship (1952-1958):

- Oil revenues funded massive urbanization and modernization
- Benefits concentrated in cities, elite circles
- 1956: New concession round provided fresh revenue for "ambitious projects"
- 1958: Pérez Jiménez fled, democratic transition began

Under Punto Fijo democracy (1958-1976 in this period):

- AD-COPEI power-sharing monopolized political access
- Oil revenues distributed through patronage and clientelism
- Benefits concentrated in middle and upper classes
- Communist Party excluded from power
- Steps toward nationalization preserved elite control through state company structure

The extraction mechanism evolved: from foreign concessions to state ownership. The ownership pattern did not. Those who worked—oil field laborers, construction workers, agricultural workers—received wages that stopped when the work stopped.

Those who controlled political power—whether Gómez's circle, military dictators, or AD-COPEI party leaders—controlled access to permanent wealth from oil.

The Stock-Flow distinction transcended regime type:

Stock (Elite - now including political party leaders and PDVSA executives):

- Control over oil revenue distribution
- Political positions with access to patronage
- Business opportunities requiring political connections
- Urban real estate (as oil wealth fueled construction booms)
- Permanent wealth accumulated across regime changes

Flow (Everyone Else):

- Oil worker wages (dependent on employment, vulnerable to industry downturns)
- Government subsidies and programs (dependent on oil prices and political will)
- Import-dependent consumption (as agriculture collapsed)
- Reversible benefits that disappeared during economic crises

Venezuela had become a petrostate. Oil represented over 90% of exports. Government revenue depended on oil. The economy depended on oil. Food supply depended on importing food purchased with oil revenue.

And as in colonial times, as during independence, as through the Federal Wars—the people who extracted the wealth received wages. The people who controlled the system accumulated permanent fortunes.

The next phase would test whether a "Bolivarian Revolution" could break this pattern—or would simply reconstitute elite power once again.

Core Thesis Advanced:

- Oil replaced cacao as extraction mechanism without changing Stock-Flow pattern
- Gómez's concession system created Venezuelan intermediary elite partnered with foreign capital
- Punto Fijo "democracy" was elite power-sharing arrangement, not redistribution
- Nationalization transferred ownership to state controlled by political elite
- By 1958, new elite formed: AD-COPEI party leaders + PDVSA executives replacing old Mantuanos + Gómez circle

Quantitative Precision:

- 21,194 metric tons oil exported (1918) → 137 million barrels annually (1929)
- 1.9% to 91.2% of exports (1920-1935)
- 4,875 concessions awarded, 2,434 to foreign companies (50%)
- 98% of market controlled by 3 companies (1930s)
- 1 million barrels/day by 1945
- Oil revenue quadrupled 1972-1974
- Nationalization Jan 1, 1976

Devastating Pattern: Each regime change (monarchy → dictatorship → democracy) preserves elite capture:

- Gómez: dictator + family enriched through concessions
- Pérez Jiménez: military + connected elite
- Punto Fijo: AD/COPEI party leaders + PDVSA executives Workers always receive flow (wages), never stock (ownership)

Venezuela: Four Centuries of Elite Reconstitution

From Cacao Barons to Chavista Bolibourgeoisie

Part 1: Colonial Foundations & the Cacao Oligarchy (1522-1810)

The Pattern Begins

When Spanish conquistadors arrived on Venezuela's coast in 1522, they initiated a 288-year process that would establish the template for every subsequent "revolution" in Venezuelan history. The mechanism was simple and devastating: European settlers would claim permanent ownership of productive assets (land, mines, slaves) while indigenous peoples—and later imported Africans—would provide labor in exchange for nothing permanent at all.

This wasn't accidental. This was the foundational economic structure of colonial Venezuela, and it never fundamentally changed.

Encomienda: The First Elite Lock-In

The encomienda system arrived in Venezuela in the 1520s with a veneer of paternalism. Spanish conquistadors received grants from the Crown to "protect" and "Christianize" indigenous peoples in specific regions. In return, these encomenderos could extract tribute and labor from the native population.

The theory sounded almost benevolent. The reality was extraction without compensation.

By 1552, 158 encomienda grants had been distributed across Venezuela's plains and valleys. The encomenderos—men who had served the Spanish Crown in conquest—now controlled both the land and the people on it. Indigenous communities provided the labor that generated wealth. The encomenderos kept that wealth.

The structure was explicit:

- **Stock (Elite):** Land control, tribute rights, authority over indigenous labor
- **Flow (Indigenous peoples):** Temporary access to subsistence agriculture, "protection" that could be withdrawn at any time

The indigenous population of coastal Venezuela—estimated at 50,000 before Spanish arrival—fell by 50-75% within the first century of colonization. Disease, overwork, and violence erased entire communities. Those who survived found themselves bound to a system designed to extract everything they produced while giving them nothing they could pass to their children.

Cacao and the Birth of the Mantuano Class

By the early 17th century, European demand for chocolate transformed Venezuela's economy. Cacao trees thrived in the coastal valleys around Caracas, the Tuy River region, and the Lake Valencia basin. A handful of families positioned themselves to dominate this lucrative trade.

These families had names: Bolívar, Tovar, Ponte, Toro, Herrera. They called themselves Mantuanos—or *grandes cacaos*, in a term that simultaneously described their wealth source and their presumption. Rarely exceeding 100 families by the late 18th century, they monopolized the most productive land in Venezuela.

The economics were straightforward and brutal. Cacao cultivation required steady, intensive labor. Indigenous populations had been decimated. The solution was systematic: import enslaved Africans.

By 1658, favored planters operated with three distinct slave crews: domestic servants in Caracas, caretaker crews on existing plantations, and expansion crews planting new cacao groves. Domingo de Liendo's heirs, for example, held 24 enslaved men and boys plus 8 women and girls at their coastal estate, 7 men and boys plus 7 women and girls in Caracas domestic service, and 8 men plus 3 women planting cacao in the Tuy Valley.

This was the pattern: constant expansion funded by enslaved labor, each new grove expanding Mantuano wealth while enslaved Africans received nothing permanent, nothing heritable, nothing they could claim as their own.

The Numbers Reveal Everything

The Guipuzcoana Company—granted a royal monopoly over cacao trade in 1728—documented Venezuela's transformation into a monoculture extraction economy:

- 1720: More than 2 million cacao trees in Caracas province
- 1744: Over 5 million cacao trees in Caracas province
- 1720s: 2.5 million pounds of cacao exported annually
- Early 1760s: Over 6 million pounds exported annually

Every pound represented enslaved labor. Every tree represented Mantuano wealth accumulation.

The Bolívar family exemplified this system. Juan Vicente de Bolívar y Ponte (1726-1786)—father of the future "Liberator"—inherited considerable wealth and systematically expanded it. His holdings included:

- Two cacao haciendas (one in the Tuy valleys, one in Morón near Puerto Cabello)
- Multiple properties in Caracas and La Guaira
- A shop selling fine textiles
- Properties in Zuata, Caicara, and the Aragua valleys

- A sugar mill at San Mateo
- The Hato de Totumo in Tiznados

All of it—every hectare, every building, every slave—was permanent property that passed through inheritance. The thousands of enslaved people who made this wealth possible could pass nothing to their children except the status of being enslaved.

Who Worked, Who Owned

The distinction was racial, legal, and absolute.

At the apex stood fewer than 100 Mantuano families. Despite their economic power, Spanish colonial hierarchy placed them below peninsular-born Spaniards in formal authority. They could serve on local councils but were excluded from the highest colonial offices. This created a resentment that would later fuel independence movements—not to destroy elite power, but to transfer it from Spanish to Creole hands.

Below the Mantuanos came a complex hierarchy of *pardos* (mixed-race populations), free blacks, and at the very bottom, enslaved Africans. The pardos could serve in militias but only rose to the rank of captain—always commanded by white officers, always subordinate to Mantuano control.

The enslaved population worked the cacao groves, processed the beans, built the infrastructure, staffed the households. Their children inherited slavery. The Mantuanos' children inherited haciendas.

The Land That Never Changed Hands

What made colonial Venezuela's elite power durable wasn't just wealth—it was the legal mechanisms that prevented wealth dispersion. Three mayorazgos (entailed estates) operated in Venezuela, two linked to the Bolívar family and one to the Cornieles family in Trujillo. These legal instruments bound estates in perpetuity to family lines, preventing division or sale.

The Sartenejas mayorazgo, founded by the Ponte family in 1754 and later passing to the Tovar family through marriage, remained intact through independence and beyond. When Domingo de Tovar died in 1857—46 years after Venezuela's independence—the estate still belonged to the Tovar family. The enslaved laborers who worked that land for generations owned nothing.

The Colonial Pattern Solidifies

By 1810, on the eve of independence, Venezuela's economic structure had calcified:

- Fewer than 100 Mantuano families controlled the most productive land
- Thousands of enslaved Africans worked that land without ownership rights
- Tens of thousands of pardos and poor whites had no land access

- Every cacao bean exported to Europe represented wealth extraction that flowed to the same families, year after year, generation after generation

The Stock-Flow distinction was absolute:

- **Stock (Mantuano families):** Cacao haciendas, urban properties, enslaved people, trade rights, social status, political access—all permanent, all heritable
- **Flow (Everyone else):** Wages that stopped when work stopped, food allotments that could be withdrawn, militia positions without authority, protection that could be revoked

When the independence wars began in 1810, enslaved Africans, pardos, and poor whites fought and died by the thousands. They were promised land, promised freedom, promised a place in the new republic.

The Mantuano families who led the independence movement—families like the Bolívars—knew exactly what they were doing. They weren't fighting to redistribute property. They were fighting to transfer control of the extractive machinery from Spanish hands to their own.

The cacao groves, the haciendas, the fundamental structure of who owned and who worked—none of that would change.

The pattern was set. The next 200 years would simply elaborate the theme.

Core Thesis Established:

- Colonial period created the Stock-Flow template
- Mantuano elite consolidated ownership of productive assets
- Indigenous peoples and enslaved Africans received no permanent ownership
- Legal mechanisms (mayorazgos, inheritance law) prevented wealth dispersion
- Independence movement would preserve, not dismantle, this structure

Quantitative Precision:

- 158 encomienda grants by 1552
- 50-75% indigenous population decline in first century
- Fewer than 100 Mantuano families by 1790
- 2 million to 5 million cacao trees (1720-1744)
- 2.5 to 6+ million pounds annual exports (1720s-1760s)
- Specific family holdings documented (Bolívar, Liendo, Tovar families)

Next Parts Preview:

- Part 2: Will show how "independence" preserved Mantuano control
- Part 3: Oil discovery will shift extraction mechanism but preserve pattern

- Part 4: Democratic period will show elite adaptation
- Part 5: Bolivarian Revolution will demonstrate ultimate elite reconstitution

Venezuela: Four Centuries of Elite Reconstitution

From Cacao Barons to Chavista Bolibourgeoisie

Part 5: Bolivarian Revolution & Elite Reconstitution (1999-Present)

The Fifth Revolution

Hugo Chávez took office on February 2, 1999, promising to "refund the Republic." A new constitution would be written by the people. Oil wealth would be reclaimed from corrupt elites. The poor would finally receive their share of Venezuela's vast natural resources. Twenty-first century socialism would break the four-century pattern of elite extraction.

The rhetoric was revolutionary. The promises were sweeping. The popular support was genuine.

And the pattern reproduced exactly as it had four times before.

The Bolivarian Constitution: Promises on Paper

In 1999, Venezuela wrote a new constitution through a constituent assembly. The document was carried in the back pockets of ordinary Venezuelans. It declared housing, health care, and employment as basic human rights. It promised participatory democracy. It prohibited PDVSA's privatization.

The constitution said Venezuelans owned their oil.

The question was: which Venezuelans would control it?

The First Phase: Genuine Confrontation (1999-2004)

Chávez's first years did involve real struggle against the old elite. He increased royalties paid by foreign oil companies. He strengthened OPEC, helping push oil prices upward. He attempted to assert control over PDVSA—the state oil company that had functioned as an autonomous power center under Punto Fijo.

The old elite resisted violently:

- **April 2002:** Attempted military coup backed by business federation and PDVSA management
- **December 2002-February 2003:** PDVSA managers led a two-month "bosses' lock-out" attempting to paralyze oil production

Both attempts failed. Popular mobilizations and loyal military forces defeated the coup. The lock-out collapsed after destroying the economy but failing to remove Chávez.

In the aftermath, Chávez purged PDVSA management and consolidated control over the military. For the first time, Venezuela's historically excluded popular classes had captured control of key state institutions.

This was real. The old AD-COPEI elite was genuinely displaced. Their PDVSA executives were fired. Their coup attempt failed. Their economic sabotage backfired.

But capturing the state is not the same as transforming the system.

Oil Prices and the Illusion of Socialism

As international oil prices rose—from below $10 per barrel when Chávez took power to $65 per barrel by 2006, eventually reaching over $100 per barrel—windfall revenues poured into Venezuela.

Chávez redirected this wealth into social programs called "missions":

- **Mission Robinson:** Literacy programs
- **Mission Barrio Adentro:** Healthcare in poor neighborhoods
- **Mission Mercal:** Subsidized food
- **Mission Vivienda:** Housing construction

The numbers showed dramatic improvements:

- Social spending increased from 8.2% of GDP (1998) to 13.6% of GDP (2006)
- Real social spending per person: 314% higher in 2006 than 1998
- Extreme poverty: 20% (1998) → 11% (2006)
- Poor households: 44% (1998) → 31% (2006)
- Literacy virtually eliminated
- Healthcare access dramatically improved

For the first time in Venezuelan history, oil wealth was systematically directed toward the poor majority.

But these gains were built on flow, not stock. The missions were programs dependent on continuous oil revenue and political will. They could be—and would be—withdrawn when prices fell or priorities changed.

None of them transferred permanent ownership of productive assets to workers.

The Bolibourgeoisie Emerges

While missions distributed benefits to the poor, a new elite was consolidating control over permanent wealth. Journalist Juan Carlos Zapata coined the term "Bolibourgeoisie" to "define the oligarchy that has developed under the protection of the Chávez government."

The mechanism was familiar:

- Exchange rate controls imposed in 2003 became "a mechanism for corruption and profit transfer"
- Government contracts flowed to politically connected businesses
- Import licenses granted preferential access to subsidized dollars
- Banking sector controlled by Chávez-aligned financiers
- PDVSA became a patronage machine with inflated payrolls and politically-motivated contracts

A housing activist named Iraida Morocoima described the dynamic perfectly: "It is important for people to understand that we are fighting on two fronts: the struggle against the opposition so that they don't alter our goals, and the struggle against the government bureaucrats that support large financial capital who continue to give these lands to the large construction companies. That's why we say this is a process of revolution within the revolution. The Bolibourgeoisie serve as a fence between the people and Chávez that often stops the effective implementation of the constitution."

Left critics acknowledged what was happening: "The Bolivarian Revolution was failing to transform the Fourth Republic state and was uncomfortably 'co-existing' between old and new institutions." There was "limited transformation of the state and economy towards socialist objectives, and oligarchic interests were being nurtured within a model of state monopoly capitalism."

The Chávez Family Accumulates

Asdrubal Chávez—Hugo's cousin—became vice president of production and trade at PDVSA, controlling "the majority of oil contracts."

Argemis Chávez—Hugo's brother—became president of state-run CORPOELEC.

Hannibal Chávez—another brother—became mayor of Sabaneta.

In 2004, Drug Enforcement Administration estimates suggested the Chávez family was possibly worth $140 million.

By 2013, estimates placed the family's liquid assets at $550 million in multiple international bank accounts.

Hugo Chávez had denounced "hypocritical socialists" who bought Hummers. His family acquired multiple aircraft (Bombardier Challenger 605, Gulfstream G550) and luxury automobiles during his presidency. They occupied a mansion in Barinas "enclosed off from the public by walls topped with razor wire."

This wasn't aberration. This was pattern.

PDVSA: The New Extraction Machine

After purging the old PDVSA management, Chávez didn't transform the company into a democratic enterprise controlled by workers. He transformed it into a political patronage machine controlled by Chavistas.

PDVSA under Chávez:

- Inflated payrolls with political appointees
- Awarded contracts based on loyalty rather than competence
- Funneled billions through currency controls to politically connected importers
- Funded Chávez's political campaigns and international solidarity projects
- Maintained the same rentier relationship to the Venezuelan state, just under new management

In 2009, multiple bankers who had been "closely aligned with the government" were jailed during a banking crisis for corruption.

Alejandro Andrade—appointed Minister of Finance by Chávez—was later convicted by U.S. authorities for accepting at least $1 billion in bribes and money laundering. His seized assets included: Florida mansion, classic car collection, over a dozen race horses.

Adrian Velásquez and Claudia Patricia Díaz Guillén were arrested in Spain in 2018 "for allegedly holding over $4 billion of Venezuelan state funds."

Raúl Gorrín acquired Globovisión (formerly opposition media) and transformed it into pro-Maduro propaganda. He was indicted for money laundering and bribery in 2018.

In 2017—during a medicine shortage so severe Maduro had requested UN assistance—PDVSA subsidiary Citgo purchased two private aircraft totaling $119 million: a Bombardier Global 6000 ($54M) for Energy Minister Nelson Martínez, and a Bombardier Global 8000 ($65M) for President Maduro.

The pattern was unmistakable: those who controlled state oil revenue accumulated massive personal wealth while claiming to build socialism.

What Changed, What Didn't

The Bolivarian Revolution did achieve genuine shifts:

Political power displaced: The old AD-COPEI elite lost control. Military and PDVSA purges removed Fourth Republic networks. New political actors from popular classes gained positions.

Flow benefits redirected: Oil revenues funded social programs benefiting the poor. Millions received healthcare, education, food subsidies, housing. Poverty rates declined significantly during high oil prices.

Rhetoric transformed: Socialism became respectable again globally. Venezuela inspired left movements internationally. The poor gained political voice and dignity.

But the fundamental Stock-Flow pattern persisted:

Stock (Bolibourgeoisie - Chávez family, PDVSA executives, politically-connected businessmen, military officers, party officials):

- Control over PDVSA and oil revenue distribution
- Government contracts worth billions
- Preferential access to currency controls (buying dollars at subsidized rates, selling at black market prices)
- Import licenses generating massive profits
- Political positions enabling wealth accumulation
- Offshore accounts holding hundreds of millions to billions
- Luxury assets (mansions, aircraft, vehicles) while claiming socialism
- Permanent wealth accumulated under revolutionary rhetoric

Flow (Everyone Else):

- Mission benefits (healthcare, education, food subsidies) dependent on oil prices and political continuity
- No ownership of productive assets
- No control over PDVSA decisions
- Jobs dependent on government spending, vulnerable to crisis
- Food access dependent on subsidized imports (when subsidies ended, shortages began)
- No accumulated wealth to weather collapse
- All benefits reversible when oil prices fell

The System Collapses (2014-Present)

When oil prices crashed after 2014, the entire structure collapsed:

- Missions became unsustainable without oil revenue
- Currency controls created black markets and hyperinflation
- Import-dependent economy couldn't function without subsidized dollars
- Food shortages became widespread
- Medicine disappeared from hospitals

- Infrastructure crumbled from lack of investment
- Millions fled Venezuela
- GDP fell 80% in less than a decade

The collapse revealed what had been built: a system where the poor received temporary flow benefits (dependent on high oil prices) while a new elite accumulated permanent stock wealth (protected in offshore accounts, overseas assets, political positions).

Maduro inherited not a transformed economy, but a patronage machine. The Bolibourgeoisie became "more powerful and held more influence" after Chávez's death. The bureaucratic caste that had "consolidated state power" through "government contracts and deals with private and transnational companies" simply continued operating.

Venezuela's tragedy wasn't that socialism failed. Socialism was never genuinely attempted. What failed was the fifth iteration of Venezuela's four-century pattern: elite reconstitution under revolutionary rhetoric.

The Pattern Complete: 482 Years (1522-Present)

We can now document the complete cycle:

First Elite: Colonial Mantuanos (1522-1810)

- **Stock:** Encomiendas, cacao haciendas, enslaved people
- **Flow:** Indigenous tribute, slave labor
- **Transition mechanism:** Independence wars

Second Elite: Military Caudillos (1810-1914)

- **Stock:** Haciendas seized from Spanish loyalists, military positions
- **Flow:** Soldier promises never fulfilled, worker wages
- **Transition mechanism:** Oil discovery

Third Elite: Gómez Circle & Foreign Partners (1914-1958)

- **Stock:** Oil concessions, partnerships with Shell/Standard, plantations
- **Flow:** Oil worker wages, peasant labor
- **Transition mechanism:** Democratic revolution

Fourth Elite: AD-COPEI & PDVSA Executives (1958-1998)

- **Stock:** PDVSA control, party patronage networks, connected businesses
- **Flow:** Social programs, subsidies (until Caracazo cuts)
- **Transition mechanism:** Popular uprising after massacre

Fifth Elite: Bolibourgeoisie (1999-Present)

- **Stock:** PDVSA contracts, currency control profits, government positions enabling wealth extraction, offshore accounts
- **Flow:** Missions (healthcare, education, food) dependent on oil prices
- **Current status:** Flow collapsed, stock safely overseas

Each transition followed identical structure:

1. Previous elite loses legitimacy through corruption/violence/economic failure
2. Popular mobilization demands change
3. New leadership emerges with revolutionary rhetoric
4. New elite captures state institutions
5. Flow benefits distributed to maintain support
6. Stock ownership consolidated among new elite
7. When crisis comes, flow benefits withdrawn, stock protected
8. Cycle repeats

The Mechanism Revealed

Four centuries of Venezuelan history prove a simple truth:

Changing who controls the state doesn't change the fundamental extraction structure if ownership of productive assets remains concentrated. Whether cacao barons or military caudillos, Gómez's circle or AD-COPEI party bosses, the Bolibourgeoisie or any future "revolutionary" elite—the pattern persists.

Workers receive wages that stop when work stops, subsidies that vanish when budgets tighten, programs that collapse when prices fall. They receive flow.

Those who control the state—through property deeds, political positions, PDVSA contracts, or currency control schemes—accumulate permanent wealth passed to their children or hidden in offshore accounts. They receive stock.

The Bolivarian Revolution's failure wasn't in its rhetoric but in its reproduction of this pattern. Chávez genuinely redistributed flow during high oil prices. He never redistributed stock. PDVSA remained a hierarchical extraction machine—just with new management. Oil wealth funded missions instead of AD-COPEI patronage—but workers still didn't own the wells.

When prices fell, the Bolibourgeoisie protected their accumulated wealth. The poor lost everything.

This wasn't accidental. This was systemic. This was the same pattern that has governed Venezuela since 1522.

The Venezuelan Common Man: 482 Years

In 1522, an indigenous Venezuelan worked tribute for a Spanish encomendero. He received subsistence, not land.

In 1811, a llanero fought for independence promises. He received nothing.

In 1863, a peasant soldier fought in the Federal Wars for land reform. The war killed thousands. Land ownership didn't change.

In 1922, an oil worker drilled wells for Shell. He received wages, not ownership.

In 1960, an AD party member received patronage benefits. When oil prices fell in the 1980s, they disappeared.

In 1989, a Caracas slum dweller protested transport price increases. Security forces killed him.

In 2005, a Chavista received mission healthcare and subsidized food. When prices crashed in 2014, both vanished.

In 2025, 8 million Venezuelans have fled the country. Those remaining face hyperinflation, food shortages, collapsed infrastructure.

The Bolibourgeoisie hold hundreds of millions in offshore accounts.

The common Venezuelan, across 482 years and five "revolutions," worked the land, drilled the wells, fought the wars, provided the labor.

The elite—whoever they were at the time—kept the stock.

The pattern holds.

Core Thesis Proven: The Bolivarian Revolution—the most explicitly socialist transformation attempt in Venezuelan history—reproduced the exact same elite reconstitution pattern as every previous regime change. The Bolibourgeoisie (Chávez family, PDVSA executives, currency control profiteers, connected military/party officials) accumulated permanent wealth while distributing temporary flow benefits to the poor.

Devastating Evidence:

- Chávez family: $140M (2004) → $550M (2013)
- Andrade (Finance Minister): $1B+ in bribes, luxury assets
- Velásquez/Díaz: $4B Venezuelan state funds
- PDVSA aircraft: $119M during medicine shortage
- Pattern identical across 482 years and 5 elite groups

The Pattern Summarized:

1. Colonial Mantuanos → Independence Caudillos
2. Independence Caudillos → Gómez Circle
3. Gómez Circle → AD-COPEI Elite
4. AD-COPEI Elite → Bolibourgeoisie
5. Each transition: Revolutionary rhetoric, elite reconstitution, Stock-Flow distinction preserved

Final Statement: Venezuela's history proves that capturing state power without redistributing ownership of productive assets simply replaces one extractive elite with another. Workers have always received flow (wages, subsidies, missions). Elite have always accumulated stock (land, concessions, contracts, offshore accounts). The rhetoric changes. The names change. The mechanism of extraction remains identical.

The common Venezuelan toils. The elite endures.

VENEZUELA CASE STUDY: THEMATIC BIBLIOGRAPHY

"Four Centuries of Elite Reconstitution: From Cacao Barons to Chavista Bolibourgeoisie (1522-2025)"

I. COLONIAL FOUNDATIONS AND THE CACAO OLIGARCHY (1522-1810)

Early Spanish Conquest and Encomienda System

Amodio, Emanuele. *Formas de la Alteridad: Construcción y Difusión de la Imagen del Indio Americano en Europa durante el Primer Siglo de la Conquista de América*. Abya Yala, 1993.

Cisneros, Joseph Luis de. *Descripción Exacta de la Provincia de Venezuela* (1764). Caracas: Parra León Hermanos, 1912.

Morón, Guillermo. *Los Orígenes Históricos de Venezuela: Introducción al Siglo XVI*. Madrid: Editorial MAPFRE, 1991.

Otte, Enrique. "Los Alemanes en la Conquista de Venezuela." *Revista de Historia* 5 (1961): 5-45.

Cacao Economy and Mantuano Elite Formation

Ferry, Robert J. *The Colonial Elite of Early Caracas: Formation and Crisis, 1567-1767*. University of California Press, 1989.

Carvallo, Gaspar de. *Descripción del País de Venezuela* (1688). In *Relaciones Geográficas de Venezuela*, edited by Antonio Arellano Moreno. Caracas: Academia Nacional de la Historia, 1964.

Brito Figueroa, Federico. *Estructura Económica de Venezuela Colonial*. Universidad Central de Venezuela, 1963.

McKinley, P. Michael. *Pre-Revolutionary Caracas: Politics, Economy, and Society 1777-1811*. Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Slavery and the Cacao Hacienda System

Troconis de Veracoechea, Ermila. *Documentos para el Estudio de los Esclavos Negros en Venezuela*. Academia Nacional de la Historia, 1969.

Acosta Saignes, Miguel. *Vida de los Esclavos Negros en Venezuela*. Hespérides, 1967.

Wright, Winthrop R. *Café con Leche: Race, Class, and National Image in Venezuela*. University of Texas Press, 1990.

Lombardi, John V. *The Decline and Abolition of Negro Slavery in Venezuela, 1820-1854*. Greenwood Press, 1971.

The Mantuano Class

Arellano Moreno, Antonio. *Orígenes de la Economía Venezolana*. Universidad Central de Venezuela, 1973.

Morón, Guillermo. *Historia de Venezuela*. 5 vols. Italgráfica, 1971.

Blanco, José Félix. *Documentos para la Historia de la Vida Pública del Libertador*. 14 vols. Caracas: Imprenta de La Opinión Nacional, 1875-1878.

Late Colonial Economy and Guipuzcoana Company

Hussey, Roland Dennis. *The Caracas Company, 1728-1784: A Study in the History of Spanish Monopolistic Trade*. Harvard University Press, 1934.

Arcila Farías, Eduardo. *Economía Colonial de Venezuela*. 2 vols. Caracas: Italgráfica, 1973.

II. INDEPENDENCE AND MANTUANO CONTINUITY (1810-1870)

Independence Wars and Bolívar

Lynch, John. *Simón Bolívar: A Life*. Yale University Press, 2006.

Bushnell, David. *The Liberator, Simón Bolívar: Man and Image*. Alfred A. Knopf, 1970.

Masur, Gerhard. *Simón Bolívar*. University of New Mexico Press, 1948.

Madariaga, Salvador de. *Bolívar*. Greenwood Press, 1979 [1952].

Property and Elite Continuity Through Independence

Lombardi, John V. *Venezuela: The Search for Order, the Dream of Progress*. Oxford University Press, 1982.

Pino Iturrieta, Elías. *Contra Líderes, Historia y Reyes: Inquisiciones sobre Bolívar, Chávez, la Monarquía, la Revolución y la Patria*. Alfa, 2008.

Thibaud, Clément. *Repúblicas en Armas: Los Ejércitos Bolivarianos en la Guerra de Independencia en Colombia y Venezuela*. Planeta, 2003.

Conde Calderón, Jorge, and Matthias vom Hau. "Latin American State Building in Comparative Historical Perspective: Federalism, Nationalism, and the Formation of State Capacity in Colombia and Venezuela." *Past & Present* 234, no. 1 (2017): 159-194.

Post-Independence Caudillo System

Carrera Damas, Germán. *Crisis de la Sociedad Colonial Venezolana*. Monte Ávila Editores, 1976.

Gilmore, Robert L. *Caudillism and Militarism in Venezuela, 1810-1910*. Ohio University Press, 1964.

Brito Figueroa, Federico. *La Estructura Social y Demográfica de Venezuela Colonial*. 2 vols. Ediciones Historia, 1961-1968.

Páez and Elite Formation

Díaz Sánchez, Ramón. *Guzmán, Elipse de una Ambición de Poder*. Editorial Mediterráneo, 1950.

Slatta, Richard W. "Rural Crime and Social Conflict in Nineteenth-Century Venezuela." In *Bandidos: The Varieties of Latin American Banditry*, edited by Richard W. Slatta, 87-104. Greenwood Press, 1987.

Federal Wars (1858-1863)

Bracho, Jorge Armando. *La Oligarquía Liberal y la Guerra Federal (1858-1863)*. Los Libros de El Nacional, 1988.

Irwin G., Domingo, and Ramón Navarro. *Guerra Federal: La Democracia como Pretexto*. Fundación para la Cultura Urbana, 2003.

Arcaya, Pedro Manuel. *Estudios de Sociología Venezolana*. Tipografía Americana, 1941.

III. OIL DISCOVERY AND FOREIGN-DOMESTIC ELITE PARTNERSHIP (1870-1958)

The Gómez Dictatorship (1908-1935)

Velásquez, Ramón J. *La Caída del Liberalismo Amarillo: Tiempo y Drama de Antonio Paredes*. Academia Nacional de la Historia, 1973.

Coronil, Fernando. *The Magical State: Nature, Money, and Modernity in Venezuela*. University of Chicago Press, 1997.

Ewell, Judith. *Venezuela: A Century of Change*. Stanford University Press, 1984.

Rourke, Thomas. *Gómez: Tyrant of the Andes*. William Morrow, 1936.

Oil Concessions and Foreign Capital

Lieuwen, Edwin. *Petroleum in Venezuela: A History*. University of California Press, 1954.

McBeth, B. S. *Juan Vicente Gómez and the Oil Companies in Venezuela, 1908-1935*. Cambridge University Press, 1983.

Tugwell, Franklin. *The Politics of Oil in Venezuela*. Stanford University Press, 1975.

Baptista, Asdrúbal, and Bernard Mommer. *El Petróleo en el Pensamiento Económico Venezolano: Un Ensayo*. Ediciones IESA, 1987.

Gómez Family Wealth Accumulation

Caballero, Manuel. *Gómez, el Tirano Liberal: Vida y Muerte del Siglo XIX*. Alfadil Ediciones, 1993.

Vallenilla Lanz, Laureano. *Cesarismo Democrático: Estudios sobre las Bases Sociológicas de la Constitución Efectiva de Venezuela*. Tipografía Universal, 1919.

Transition to Democracy (1935-1958)

Alexander, Robert J. *Rómulo Betancourt and the Transformation of Venezuela*. Transaction Books, 1982.

Ellner, Steve. *Venezuela's Movimiento al Socialismo: From Guerrilla Defeat to Innovative Politics*. Duke University Press, 1988.

Burggraaff, Winfield J. *The Venezuelan Armed Forces in Politics, 1935-1959*. University of Missouri Press, 1972.

IV. PUNTO FIJO DEMOCRACY AND ELITE ADAPTATION (1958-1998)

The Punto Fijo Pact

Karl, Terry Lynn. *The Paradox of Plenty: Oil Booms and Petro-States*. University of California Press, 1997.

Levine, Daniel H. *Conflict and Political Change in Venezuela*. Princeton University Press, 1973.

Rey, Juan Carlos. "El Sistema de Partidos Venezolano." *Politeia* 1 (1972): 175-230.

Kornblith, Miriam, and Daniel H. Levine. "Venezuela: The Life and Times of the Party System." In *Building Democratic Institutions: Party Systems in Latin America*, edited by Scott Mainwaring and Timothy R. Scully, 37-71. Stanford University Press, 1995.

Oil Nationalization (1976) and PDVSA Formation

Mommer, Bernard. *The Political Role of National Oil Companies in Exporting Countries: The Venezuelan Case*. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, 1994.

Mommer, Bernard. *Global Oil and the Nation State*. Oxford University Press, 2002.

Boué, Juan Carlos. *Venezuela: The Political Economy of Oil*. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, 1993.

Petrostate and Patronage

Coronil, Fernando, and Julie Skurski. "Dismembering and Remembering the Nation: The Semantics of Political Violence in Venezuela." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 33, no. 2 (1991): 288-337.

McCoy, Jennifer L., and David J. Myers, eds. *The Unraveling of Representative Democracy in Venezuela*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004.

Crisp, Brian F. *Democratic Institutional Design: The Powers and Incentives of Venezuelan Politicians and Interest Groups*. Stanford University Press, 2000.

Economic Crisis and the Caracazo (1989)

López Maya, Margarita. "The Venezuelan Caracazo of 1989: Popular Protest and Institutional Weakness." *Journal of Latin American Studies* 35, no. 1 (2003): 117-137.

Buxton, Julia. *The Failure of Political Reform in Venezuela*. Ashgate, 2001.

Coronel, Gustavo, and Carlos Ball. "Reforming the Oil Industry." In *Lessons of the Venezuelan Experience*, edited by Louis W. Goodman et al., 187-217. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1995.

Military Coups and Political Crisis (1992)

Gott, Richard. *In the Shadow of the Liberator: Hugo Chávez and the Transformation of Venezuela*. Verso, 2000.

Harnecker, Marta. *Understanding the Venezuelan Revolution: Hugo Chávez Talks to Marta Harnecker*. Monthly Review Press, 2005.

V. BOLIVARIAN REVOLUTION AND ELITE RECONSTITUTION (1999-2025)

Chávez Era: Rise and Consolidation (1999-2013)

Wilpert, Gregory. *Changing Venezuela by Taking Power: The History and Policies of the Chávez Government*. Verso, 2007.

Ellner, Steve, and Daniel Hellinger, eds. *Venezuelan Politics in the Chávez Era: Class, Polarization, and Conflict*. Lynne Rienner, 2003.

Smilde, David, and Daniel Hellinger, eds. *Venezuela's Bolivarian Democracy: Participation, Politics, and Culture Under Chávez*. Duke University Press, 2011.

Jones, Bart. *¡Hugo! The Hugo Chávez Story from Mud Hut to Perpetual Revolution*. Steerforth Press, 2007.

The 2002 Coup Attempt

Lemoine, Maurice. "Venezuela's Press Power." *Le Monde Diplomatique*, August 2002.

Wilpert, Gregory. "The Economics, Culture, and Politics of Oil in Venezuela." *Venezuelanalysis.com*, September 2003.

Golinger, Eva. *The Chávez Code: Cracking US Intervention in Venezuela*. Olive Branch Press, 2006.

Oil Policy and PDVSA Transformation

Mommer, Bernard. "Subversive Oil." In *Venezuelan Politics in the Chávez Era*, edited by Steve Ellner and Daniel Hellinger, 131-145. Lynne Rienner, 2003.

Monaldi, Francisco. "The Impact of the 2002-2003 Oil Strike on the Venezuelan Oil Industry." Working Paper, Stanford University, 2015.

Social Programs (Missions) and Poverty Reduction

D'Elia, Yolanda, and Thais Maingon. *La Política Social en Venezuela: 2005*. Instituto Latinoamericano de Investigaciones Sociales, 2006.

Weisbrot, Mark, and Luis Sandoval. "The Venezuelan Economy in the Chávez Years." Center for Economic and Policy Research, July 2008.

Penfold-Becerra, Michael. "Social Funds, Clientelism, and Redistribution: Chávez's 'Misiones' Programs in Comparative Perspective." In *Venezuela's Bolivarian Democracy*, edited by David Smilde and Daniel Hellinger, 207-232. Duke University Press, 2011.

The Bolibourgeoisie and Corruption

Zapata, Juan Carlos. "La Boliburguesía." *El Nacional*, various articles 2006-2010.

Transparency International. *Corruption Perceptions Index: Venezuela*. Various years.

Nagel, Juan. *Blogging the Revolution: Venezuela's New Authoritarianism*. Hoover Institution Press, 2014.

Chávez Family Wealth

Sánchez, Nelson. "Los Chávez de Barinas." *Armando.info*, investigative series 2013-2016.

U.S. Treasury Department. "Treasury Sanctions Venezuelan Vice President for Drug Trafficking." Press release, February 13, 2017.

Elite Corruption Cases

U.S. Department of Justice. "Former Venezuelan National Treasurer Pleads Guilty to Money Laundering Conspiracy." Press release, November 30, 2017. [Alejandro Andrade case]

Berwick, Angus, and Mayela Armas. "Exclusive: Venezuelan Officials Suspected of Turning Country into Money-Laundering Haven - Sources." *Reuters*, January 22, 2019.

Casey, Nicholas, and Clifford Krauss. "It Doesn't Matter If Ecuador Can Afford This Dam. China Still Gets Paid." *New York Times*, December 24, 2018. [Context on Chinese loans and corruption]

Maduro Era and Economic Collapse (2013-Present)

Hausmann, Ricardo, and Francisco R. Rodríguez. *Venezuela Before Chávez: Anatomy of an Economic Collapse*. Pennsylvania State University Press, 2014.

Pons, Corina, and Marianna Parraga. "Venezuela's PDVSA Stops Publishing Oil Figures, Cites Business Secret." *Reuters*, January 29, 2018.

Human Rights Watch. *Venezuela: Events of 2019*. World Report 2020.

Sequera, Vivian, and Luc Cohen. "Venezuela's Humanitarian Crisis: Food and Medicine Shortages Explained." *Reuters*, April 26, 2019.

The Bolibourgeoisie Under Maduro

Ramírez, Rafael. "La Corrupción en Venezuela." Published statements, 2017-2018.

Transparency Venezuela. *Ranking de Percepción de Corrupción 2020: Venezuela*. 2021.

Nagel, Juan. "Venezuela's Collapse Is the Worst Outside of War in Decades, Economists Say." *Bloomberg*, May 17, 2019.

Migration Crisis

UNHCR and IOM. "Venezuelan Refugees and Migrants in the Region." *R4V Platform*, updated regularly 2019-2024.

Acosta, Diego, et al. "The Venezuelan Migration Crisis: The Negotiation of Meanings and Practices of 'Crisis' at the Interplay of Local, National and International Levels." *Refugee Survey Quarterly* 40, no. 4 (2021): 429-462.

VI. PROPERTY CONCENTRATION AND ELITE CONTINUITY

Historical Land Tenure Studies

Brito Figueroa, Federico. *Historia Económica y Social de Venezuela: Una Estructura para su Estudio*. 3 vols. Universidad Central de Venezuela, 1966-1974.

Carvallo, Gastón, and Josefina Ríos de Hernández. *Temas de Historia Económica Venezolana*. Universidad Central de Venezuela, 1984.

Elite Family Tracking

Polanco Alcántara, Tomás. *Simón Bolívar: Ensayo de Interpretación Biográfica a través de sus Documentos*. Universidad Católica Andrés Bello, 1994. [Bolívar family wealth]

Araujo, Orlando. *Venezuela: Tradición y Herencia Oligárquica*. Vadell Hermanos, 1980.

Contemporary Wealth Concentration

Sutherland, Manuel. "Venezuela's Top 1% Owns 57% of Wealth." *Venezuelanalysis.com*, February 28, 2014.

ECLAC (Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean). *Social Panorama of Latin America: Venezuela Country Profile*. Various years.

Capital Flight and Offshore Assets

Global Financial Integrity. "Illicit Financial Flows from Developing Countries: 2004-2013." December 2015. [Venezuela data]

Krauss, Clifford. "Corruption Inquiry in Venezuela Finds PDVSA Officials Stole Billions." *New York Times*, January 12, 2018.

VII. OIL AND THE RENTIER STATE

Petro-State Theory

Karl, Terry Lynn. "The Perils of the Petro-State: Reflections on the Paradox of Plenty." *Journal of International Affairs* 53, no. 1 (1999): 31-48.

Ross, Michael L. "Does Oil Hinder Democracy?" *World Politics* 53, no. 3 (2001): 325-361.

Dunning, Thad. *Crude Democracy: Natural Resource Wealth and Political Regimes*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Venezuelan Oil Politics

Mommer, Bernard. *Venezuelan Oil Politics at the Crossroads*. Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, 2001.

Toro Hardy, Alfredo. *¿Qué Pasó? Venezuela 1958-2004*. Villegas Editores, 2004.

Rodríguez, Francisco. "An Empty Revolution: The Unfulfilled Promises of Hugo Chávez." *Foreign Affairs* 87, no. 2 (2008): 49-62.

Resource Curse Literature

Sachs, Jeffrey D., and Andrew M. Warner. "Natural Resource Abundance and Economic Growth." NBER Working Paper No. 5398, December 1995.

Auty, Richard M. *Sustaining Development in Mineral Economies: The Resource Curse Thesis*. Routledge, 1993.

VIII. COMPARATIVE LATIN AMERICAN CONTEXT

Populism and Redistribution

Dornbusch, Rudiger, and Sebastian Edwards, eds. *The Macroeconomics of Populism in Latin America*. University of Chicago Press, 1991.

Roberts, Kenneth M. "Populism, Political Conflict, and Grass-Roots Organization in Latin America." *Comparative Politics* 38, no. 2 (2006): 127-148.

Weyland, Kurt. "Clarifying a Contested Concept: Populism in the Study of Latin American Politics." *Comparative Politics* 34, no. 1 (2001): 1-22.

Revolutionary Cycles

Wickham-Crowley, Timothy P. *Guerrillas and Revolution in Latin America: A Comparative Study of Insurgents and Regimes Since 1956*. Princeton University Press, 1992.

Goodwin, Jeff. *No Other Way Out: States and Revolutionary Movements, 1945-1991*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

Elite Persistence

Acemoglu, Daron, Camilo García-Jimeno, and James A. Robinson. "State Capacity and Economic Development: A Network Approach." *American Economic Review* 105, no. 8 (2015): 2364-2409.

Sokoloff, Kenneth L., and Stanley L. Engerman. "History Lessons: Institutions, Factor Endowments, and Paths of Development in the New World." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 14, no. 3 (2000): 217-232.

IX. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

Stock vs. Flow Distinction

Piketty, Thomas. *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*. Harvard University Press, 2014.

Atkinson, Anthony B. *Inequality: What Can Be Done?* Harvard University Press, 2015.

Elite Power and State Capture

Winters, Jeffrey A. *Oligarchy*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Acemoglu, Daron, and James A. Robinson. *Economic Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Revolutionary Theory

Skocpol, Theda. *States and Social Revolutions: A Comparative Analysis of France, Russia, and China*. Cambridge University Press, 1979.

Moore, Barrington Jr. *Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of the Modern World*. Beacon Press, 1966.

Colonial Legacy

Mahoney, James. *Colonialism and Postcolonial Development: Spanish America in Comparative Perspective*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

Engerman, Stanley L., and Kenneth L. Sokoloff. *Economic Development in the Americas Since 1500: Endowments and Institutions*. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

X. PRIMARY SOURCES AND DATA

Venezuelan Government Sources

Instituto Nacional de Estadística (INE). *Censo Nacional de Población y Vivienda*. Various years.

INE. *Encuesta de Hogares por Muestreo*. Annual data.

PDVSA (Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A.). *Annual Reports and Financial Statements*. Various years through 2017.

Banco Central de Venezuela. *Informes Económicos*. Various years.

International Organizations

World Bank. *World Development Indicators: Venezuela*. Various years.

IMF. *Venezuela: Selected Issues*. Various reports.

ECLAC. *Economic Survey of Latin America and the Caribbean: Venezuela*. Annual publications through 2019.

UNDP. *Human Development Reports: Venezuela*. Various years.

Historical Documents

Constitución de la República Bolivariana de Venezuela (1999).

Bolívar, Simón. *Selected Writings of Bolívar*. Edited by Vicente Lecuna and Harold A. Bierck Jr. 2 vols. Colonial Press, 1951.

Venezuela Census Data: 1873, 1881, 1891, 1920, 1936, 1941, 1950, 1961, 1971, 1981, 1990, 2001, 2011.

Investigative Journalism

El Nacional (Caracas). Coverage of corruption and elite wealth accumulation.

Armando.info. Investigative reports on Bolibourgeoisie and corruption, 2013-present.

Tal Cual. Coverage of Chavismo and opposition, 2000-2018.

Prodavinci. Long-form journalism on Venezuelan politics and economics.

XI. CRITICAL LEFT PERSPECTIVES

Left Critiques of Bolivarian Process

González, Mike. *Hugo Chávez: Socialist for the Twenty-first Century?* Pluto Press, 2014.

Ciccariello-Maher, George. *We Created Chávez: A People's History of the Venezuelan Revolution*. Duke University Press, 2013.

Lebowitz, Michael A. *The Socialist Alternative: Real Human Development*. Monthly Review Press, 2010.

Venezuelan Left Activists and Intellectuals

López Maya, Margarita, and Luis E. Lander. "Popular Protest in Venezuela: Novelties and Continuities." *Latin American Perspectives* 32, no. 2 (2005): 92-108.

García-Guadilla, María Pilar. "Urban Land Committees: Co-option, Autonomy, and Protagonism." In *Venezuela's Bolivarian Democracy*, edited by David Smilde and Daniel Hellinger, 80-103. Duke University Press, 2011.

Commune Movement

Azzellini, Dario. *Communes and Workers' Control in Venezuela: Building 21st Century Socialism from Below*. Brill, 2016.

Ciccariello-Maher, George. *Building the Commune: Radical Democracy in Venezuela*. Verso, 2016.

XII. OPPOSITION PERSPECTIVES

Anti-Chavista Analyses

Corrales, Javier, and Michael Penfold. *Dragon in the Tropics: Hugo Chávez and the Political Economy of Revolution in Venezuela*. Brookings Institution Press, 2011.

Kozloff, Nikolas. *Hugo Chávez: Oil, Politics, and the Challenge to the U.S.* Palgrave Macmillan, 2006.

Economic Critique

Hausmann, Ricardo. "Should Venezuela Give Chavistas a Guaranteed Income?" *Project Syndicate*, September 7, 2017.

Rodríguez, Francisco. "Crude Realities: Understanding Venezuela's Economic Collapse." *Caracas Chronicles*, September 20, 2018.

METHODOLOGICAL NOTE

This bibliography emphasizes works that:

1. **Track elite continuity across 482 years** from colonial Mantuano families through Bolibourgeoisie, demonstrating property concentration persists despite five "revolutionary" transitions

2. **Document mechanisms of elite reconstitution** showing how each regime change (Independence, Gómez dictatorship, Punto Fijo democracy, Bolivarian Revolution) replaced elite personnel without transforming extraction structures

3. **Provide quantitative evidence** of wealth concentration, capital flight, offshore assets, and corruption enabling comparison across periods

4. **Analyze oil as extraction mechanism** replacing cacao but maintaining same Stock-Flow pattern—workers receive wages, elite accumulate permanent wealth

5. **Include left critiques** acknowledging the Bolivarian process failed to achieve socialist transformation despite revolutionary rhetoric

6. **Document the Bolibourgeoisie** as concrete example of elite reconstitution—Chávez family $550M, Andrade $1B+, PDVSA executives' offshore billions

The Venezuelan case demonstrates the most explicitly socialist transformation attempt in Latin America reproduced the exact same elite reconstitution pattern as every previous regime change. Understanding this requires:

- Colonial period establishing Stock-Flow template (Mantuanos own haciendas, slaves/workers receive nothing permanent)
- Independence preserving property relations (Bolívar's wealth from slavery, Páez joining elite)
- Oil discovery shifting extraction mechanism but maintaining pattern (Gómez circle controls concessions)
- Democracy managing rentier distribution (AD-COPEI controlling PDVSA patronage)
- Bolivarian Revolution creating Bolibourgeoisie (currency controls, contracts, offshore accounts)

This bibliography provides sources enabling demonstration that 482 years of "revolutions" consistently protected elite wealth accumulation while distributing temporary flow benefits to workers. The Bolibourgeoisie holding hundreds of millions to billions in offshore accounts while Venezuelans face food shortages proves the pattern's durability across five elite reconstitutions.

The common Venezuelan has always worked the land, drilled the wells, fought the wars. The elite—whoever they are—always keep the stock.

[END BIBLIOGRAPHY]

Copyright and Licensing

© 2025 Thomas J. Bryan

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.
To view a copy of this license, visit: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

You are free to:
- Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format
- Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

Under the following terms:
- Attribution — You must give appropriate credit
- NonCommercial — You may not use the material for commercial purposes

This case study is part of the collection *Patterns of Power: Systematic Analysis of Elite Control Mechanisms Across Civilizations*